

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

an

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,117	10/30/2001	David D. Faraldo II	05220.P002X	7950	
7	590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Andre M. Gibbs			TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R		
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	& ZAFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		2141		
Los Angeles, (	CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/016,11	7	FARALDO, DAVID D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit				
		Nicholas F	-	2141				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	orrespondence address	, ,			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve b. reply within the staturiod will apply and wi tatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be ting story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 March 2005.						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) \( \begin{align*} \text{ access the drawing(s) becomes the contraction is require.} \end{align*}	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/07/2005</u> .	) //08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 2141

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-29 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed not persuasive.
- 3. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that:
- (A) Prior art of Royce does not teach an advanced notification rule, and furthermore does not teach preempting a standard notification rule.

As to point (A), Royce teaches an escalation check process that checks global variable GLV1 to see if an advanced notification rule is due based on the escalation time versus the current timestamp (Royce, column 11, lines 63-67.) When this advanced notification is due, an escalation list is checked for the appropriate action (Royce, column 12, lines 6-7.) This advanced notification effectively preempts the standard notification rule with the advanced notification rule retrieved from the "escalation list."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/016,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Royce et al. (US Patent 5,748,884.)
- 6. As per claims 1, 9, 17, and 25, Royce teaches a method comprising:

enabling a standard notification rule to generate a first notification upon an occurrence of a predetermined event to a first person in a hierarchy; and (column 3, lines 38-52; column 8, lines 18-39)

enabling an advanced notification rule to preempt the standard notification upon the occurrence (column 11, line 30 to column 12, line 25, wherein an advanced notification takes precedence after escalation.)

- 7. As per claims 2, 10, 18, and 26, Royce teaches the system further comprising: generating a second notification to a second person in the hierarchy (column 12, lines 1-9.)
- 8. As per claims 3, 11, 19, and 27, Royce teaches the system further comprising: generating the first notification to the first person in the hierarchy; and (column 3, lines 38-52)

Application/Control Number: 10/016,117 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

generating the second notification to a second person in the hierarchy (column 12, lines 1-9.)

- As per claims 4, 12, 20, and 28, Royce teaches the system further comprising:
   suspending the generating of the first notification (column 11, line 62 to column 12, line 25, specifically the Escalation Check.)
- 10. As per claims 5, 13, 21, and 29, Royce teaches the system further comprising: generating the first notification to the first person in the hierarchy; and (column 3, lines 38-52) acknowledging the first notification (column 12, lines 10-14, and figure 7A item 720.)
- 11. As per claims 6, 14, and 22, Royce teaches the system further wherein the advanced notification rule includes a scope (column 12, lines 31-39.)
- 12. As per claims 7, 15, and 23, Royce teaches the system further where the scope of the advanced notification rule configured by at least one of the group consisting of a company, a satellite, a host assigned to a company, a service configured on a host for a company, a check type, a host state, a service state, a contact group, and a message pattern (column 12, lines 31-39, specifically the event code that is configured.)

Application/Control Number: 10/016,117

Art Unit: 2141

13. As per claims 8, 16, and 24, Royce teaches the system further where the advanced notification rule is enabled for a temporary amount of time (column 11, lines 63-67.)

## Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm, with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,117 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas Taylor Examiner Art Unit 2141

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER